Comments and questions regarding this section may be directed to the person listed below:

Assessment Program

Shawn Nelson, Industrial Safety Specialist and Tom Wessels ESH&A Manager G40 TASF 294-2153

NOTE: This Section's Sign-Off Record is maintained in the ESH&A Office, G40 TASF.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# **SECTION 10 – ASSESSMENT PROGRAM**

Revisi	on Review	ii
Signat	ure Page	iii
Ü		
10.1	Worker Observations	1
10.2	Manager Assessments	3
10.3	Independent Assessments	6

# **REVISION / REVIEW LOG**

# **SECTION 10 – ASSESSMENT PROGRAM**

Review <u>Number</u> :	Effective <u>Date</u> :	Contact <u>Person:</u>	Pages <u>Affected</u> :	Description of <u>Revision</u> :
0	10/11/99	Tom Wessels	All	Original Issue
1	1/13/06	Shawn Nelson	See Revision Description	G:\Doc&Recs\DCP\Revision Description\Manual 10200.002 Section 10 Revision 1.doc
2	12/1/07	Tom Wessels	All	\Doc&Recs\DCP\Revision Description\ESH&A Manual\Manual 10200.002 Section 10 rev 2 revdesc.doc

# **SIGN-OFF RECORD**

The Environment, Safety Health and Assurance Program Manual has been reviewed and approved as documented below:

Reviewed by:	Environment, Safety, Health & Assurance	Date: _	
Approved by:	Division Director, Chief Operations Officer	Date: _	
Approved by:	Associate Director, Sponsored Research Administration	Date:	
Approved by:	Division Director, Science and Technology	Date: _	
Approved by:	Deputy Director	Date: _	
Approved by:	Director	Date: _	

Note: Original Sign-off Record with signatures is on file with ESH&A.

### 10.0 ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

### 10.1 WORKER OBSERVATIONS

Applicability Statement: This section applies to all employees.

This section also applies to ESH&A for tracking and resolution of

employee concerns and trending of deficiencies.

### 10.1.1 REFERENCES

DOE Policy 450.4, Safety Management System Policy Guide 10200.003, Worker Observation Guide Plan 10200.008, Employee Safety and Security Concerns Program Implementation Plan Procedure 10200.041, Trend Analysis of ES&H Concerns

#### 10.1.2 BACKGROUND

The most important and effective process for identification and correction of process deficiencies is the observation by individual employees. Direct line supervisors provide individual work directions and each worker is accountable for performing quality work in a safe and productive manner. Employees are charged with the responsibility of continuously assessing their individual performances and their workspaces in order to prevent problems and to identify nonconforming conditions and opportunities for improvement. Ames Laboratory seeks to promptly address employee concerns about environment, safety and health issues in the workplace.

### 10.1.3 PROGRAM INFORMATION

Workers shall assess their work and work environments in order to identify potential hazards and opportunities for improvement. The Worker Observation Guide (Guide 10200.003) is available to assist workers in the observation of activities within office spaces and laboratory/shop spaces. Work deficiencies should be corrected as soon as possible by the workers involved with the activity. Workplace deficiencies should be reported to the first level of management as soon as possible. Resolution of concerns should occur at the level of line management most directly responsible for the activity. If the issue cannot be resolved at this level, the employee is directed to proceed within his/her line management structure or to report the concern to the Environment, Safety, Health and Assurance (ESH&A) office as part of the Employee Safety and Security Concerns Program.

# **10.1.4 TRAINING**

GENERAL EMPLOYEE TRAINING (GET) FOR NEW EMPLOYEES #AL-001		
Intended Audience:	Mandatory for all employees.	
Module Format:	Classroom Instruction. Estimated completion	
	time: 1.5 hours. This topic is discussed with	
	new employees.	
Associated Retrain Period & Format:	ormat: Retrain is required if an employee has been	
	terminated from the Laboratory for more than	
	a one-year period. All Ames Laboratory	
	employees receive the Laboratory's Annual	
	Retrain Mailing, which covers Fire Safety,	
	Cyber Security, Physical Security,	
	informational updates and policy reminders.	

#### 10.1.5 PERFORMANCE CHECKLIST

# **Supervisors / Group Leader / Department Manager** shall:

- □ Assure workers have training and competence commensurate with work responsibilities.
- □ Provide work instructions suitable for the work processes undertaken.
- □ Assist workers with mitigation of hazards.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### **Employees** shall:

- □ Attend "General Employee Training", (AL-001).
- □ Assimilate work instructions into work practices.
- □ Identify and mitigate work hazards in work environments.
- □ Report unmitigated work hazards to Supervisor, Group Leader, or Department Manager.
- □ Report unresolved work hazards to ESH&A via the Safety and Security Concerns Program.
- □ Utilize "Stop Work Authority", to protect workers, the public and the environment. See Section 5.2 of this manual.

### Environment, Safety, Health & Assurance (ESH&A) shall:

- □ Seek resolution of employee safety and security concerns.
- □ Conduct trend analysis of safety deficiencies.

### 10.2 MANAGER ASSESSMENTS

Applicability Statement: This section applies to Group/Section Leaders, Safety

Coordinators/Representatives, Program Directors and Department

Managers.

This section also applies to ESH&A for tracking and resolution of

Employee Concerns and trending of deficiencies.

### 10.2.1 REFERENCES

DOE Policy 450.4, Safety Management System Policy Form 10200.026, Walk-Through Report Plan 10200.008, Employee Safety and Security Concerns Program Implementation Plan Procedure 10200.014, Program/Department Walk-Through

#### 10.2.2 BACKGROUND

While each individual is responsible for the quality and safety of her/his work, supervisors and Group/Section Leaders are accountable for oversight, direction, and guidance of work activities. Program Directors/Department Managers assess the allocation of resources and the management of hazards associated with the activities of the Groups/Sections within their organizations. Group/Section Leaders and Program Directors/Department Managers, or their Safety Representatives/Coordinators should periodically review the work being conducted within their organization and correct any identified deficiencies.

#### 10.2.3 PROGRAM INFORMATION

### **Group / Section Leader Observations**

Group/Section Leaders should periodically review the work being conducted within their organization and correct any identified deficiencies. Safety Representatives should assist with this effort. Formal documentation of this effort is not required, but unresolved concerns shall be presented to the appropriate Program Director/Department Manager or ESH&A.

### **Program / Department Walk-Through**

The Program Directors/Department Managers (with the Safety Coordinator) shall conduct a walk-through at a minimum frequency of once per year (Procedure 10200.014 Program/Department Walk-Throughs). Observations from walk-throughs, appraisals, and other internal assessments are classified as Findings, Strengths, or Noteworthy Practices.

**<u>Finding:</u>** A finding is a determination of deficiency pertaining to implementation of a requirement based on a recognized inadequacy or weakness. Findings are categorized as levels 1, 2, or 3. This categorization is necessary to identify the degree of management formality and rigor required for the correction, tracking to closure, and trending of findings.

<u>Level 1 Finding:</u> Determination of deficiency of major significance that warrants a high level of attention on the part of line management. Typically these reflect a gap in addressing requirements or a systemic problem with implementing requirements. If left uncorrected, this level of finding could negatively impact the Laboratory's mission.

<u>Level 2 Finding:</u> Determination of deficiency that represents a non-conformance and/or deviation with implementation of a requirement. Multiple determinations of deficiency at this level, when of a similar nature, may be rolled-up together into one or more Level 1 Findings. Level 2 findings can be further qualified by noting the significance of the issue as: *Moderate*, conditions that could cause minor injury or minor environmental or

<u>Level 3 Finding:</u> Determination of deficiency where it is recognized that improvements can be gained in process, performance, or efficiency already established for meeting a requirement. This level of finding should also include minor deviations observed during oversight activities that can be promptly corrected and verified as completed.

programmatic impact; or High, conditions that could cause a severe injury or significant

Documentation of findings should include the statement of the specific requirement (e.g. regulatory citation, Laboratory policy, etc.), the description of a programmatic breakdown (if applicable), and objective evidence demonstrating the deficiency.

**Strength:** A mature process or activity that has consistently demonstrated the ability to meet expectations, or a process or activity that efficiently and effectively facilitates and integrates processes, activities, and resources.

**Noteworthy Practice:** A positive observation, based on objective assessment data, or a particular practice, procedure, process, or system considered so unique or innovative enough that other organizations within the Laboratory might find it beneficial. Mere compliance with mandatory requirements is not considered to be a noteworthy practice.

The Safety Coordinator shall document the identification and the close out of findings by utilizing the Walk-Through Report form (Form 10200.026) or other forms which:

- 1. document the observation as a finding, strength, or noteworthy practice
- 2. delineate the close out time period for the findings:

environmental or programmatic impact.

- <u>Level 1 Finding</u> Close out by the end of the first full workday after the findings are identified, or according to corrective action plan approved by the ESH&A Office.
- <u>Level 2 Finding</u> Close out within 60 days of report date or according to a corrective action approved by line management.
- Level 3 Finding Close out as soon a possible, as resources are available.
- 3. notes the person or organization responsible for corrective action and the response
- 4. lists the date of close out
- 5. indicates verification of close out

It is the responsibility of the Program Director, Group /Section Leader or Department Manager to perform the actions necessary to close out the findings identified by the Program/Department Walk-Through according to the requirements for the finding level. Conditions observed during the Program/Department Walk-Through which require attention such as facilities deficiencies (e.g., electrical wiring, lights, fume hoods, plumbing, etc.), should be communicated to the Facilities Services Group or Engineering Services Group appropriately. Verification of the close out shall be performed by the appropriate Safety Coordinator and documented. Walk-through records, once verified by the Safety Coordinator shall be kept by the Program/Department responsible for the walk-through in accordance with the requirements of the General Records Schedule or DOE Schedule.

#### 10.2.4 TRAINING

HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	#AL-130
Intended Audience:	Mandatory for Safety Coordinators and
	Representatives.
	Suggested for all Group Leaders.
Module Format:	Computer-based Training. Estimated
	completion time: 1.0 hours.
Associated Retrain Period & Format:	No retrain.

SAFETY COORDINATOR/REPRESENTATIVE DEVELOPMENT TRAINING		
#AL-031		
Intended Audience:	Mandatory for Safety Coordinators.	
Module Format:	Computer-based Training. Estimated	
	completion time: 1.0 hour.	
Associated Retrain Period & Format:	No retrain.	

### 10.2.5 PERFORMANCE CHECKLIST

### **Group / Section Leaders** shall:

- Periodically review the work being performed within their organization.
- □ Correct identified safety deficiencies.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### **Safety Representatives** shall:

- □ Assist Group/Section Leaders with review of work performed within their organization.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### **Safety Coordinators** shall:

- □ Complete required training.
- □ Assist with the performance of Program/Department Walk-Throughs.
- □ Document the identification and the close out of findings identified through the Program/Department Walk-Through.
- □ Forward the Walk-Through findings (by percentage) to ESH&A at the end of the fiscal year (September 30) for Lab wide trend analysis. The findings should be categorized according to the 24 listings in Procedure 10200.014 Program Department Walk-Throughs.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### **Department Managers and Program Directors** shall:

- □ Participate in the Program/Department Walk-Throughs with the Safety Coordinator.
- □ Assure that identified safety deficiencies are corrected.
- ☐ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### Environment, Safety, Health & Assurance (ESH&A) shall:

- □ Seek resolution of employee concerns.
- □ Conduct trend analysis of safety deficiencies.

# 10.3 INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENTS

Applicability Statement: This section applies to all organizational units, Group/Section

Leaders, Safety Coordinators/Representatives, Program Directors and

Department Managers.

This section also applies to ESH&A.

#### 10.3.1 REFERENCES

DOE Policy 450.4, Safety Management System Policy Procedure 10200.021, Independent Walk-Throughs Plan 10200.022, Topical Appraisals

#### 10.3.2 BACKGROUND

In addition to the observations by workers, Group/Section Leaders, and Programs/Departments the Laboratory has regulatory and contractual requirements to conduct independent and topical reviews. These reviews are designed to provide objective assessments of conditions in the work place and the status of implementation of regulatory requirements.

#### 10.3.3 PROGRAM INFORMATION

## **Independent Walk-Throughs**

Independent Walk-Throughs shall be conducted under the direction of ESH&A according to Procedure 10200.021 Independent Walk-Throughs. A walk-through shall be performed of each Program and Department on an annual basis. The Independent Walk-Through Team consists of representatives from upper management, ESH&A, Ames Site Office, ISU EH&S, and an electrical safety inspector. ESH&A will coordinate these walk-throughs and track corrective actions. Observations from walk-throughs, appraisals, and other internal assessments are classified as Findings, Strengths, or Noteworthy Practices.

**Finding:** A finding is a determination of deficiency pertaining to implementation of a requirement based on a recognized inadequacy or weakness. Findings are categorized as levels 1, 2, or 3. This categorization is necessary to identify the degree of management formality and rigor required for the correction, tracking to closure, and trending of findings.

<u>Level 1 Finding:</u> Determination of deficiency of major significance that warrants a high level of attention on the part of line management. Typically these reflect a gap in addressing requirements or a systemic problem with implementing requirements. If left uncorrected, this level of finding could negatively impact the Ames Laboratory mission.

<u>Level 2 Finding</u>: Determination of deficiency that represents a non-conformance and/or deviation with implementation of a requirement. Multiple determinations of deficiency at this level, when of a similar nature, may be rolled-up together into one or more Level 1 Findings. Level 2 findings can be further qualified by noting the significance of the issue as: *Moderate*, conditions that could cause minor injury or minor environmental or programmatic impact; or *High*, conditions that could cause a severe injury or significant environmental or programmatic impact.

<u>Level 3 Finding:</u> Determination of deficiency where it is recognized that improvements can be gained in process, performance, or efficiency already established for meeting a requirement. This level of finding should also include minor deviations observed during oversight activities that can be promptly corrected and verified as completed.

Documentation of findings should include the statement of the specific requirement (e.g. regulatory citation, Laboratory policy, etc.), the description of a programmatic breakdown (if applicable), and objective evidence demonstrating the deficiency.

**Strength:** A mature process or activity that has consistently demonstrated the ability to meet expectations, or a process or activity that efficiently and effectively facilitates and integrates processes, activities, and resources.

**Noteworthy Practice:** A positive observation, based on objective assessment data, or a particular practice, procedure, process, or system considered so unique or innovative enough that other organizations within the Laboratory might find it beneficial. Mere compliance with mandatory requirements is not considered to be a noteworthy practice.

It is the responsibility of the Program/Department to perform the actions necessary to close out the findings identified during the walk-through, according to the requirements assigned to the finding. This includes writing Service Order Requisitions for Facilities Services, Engineering Services, ISU Facilities Planning and Management, etc., to perform maintenance or services. The following is the general time schedule for closing out findings:

- <u>Level 1 Finding</u> Close out by the end of the first full workday after the findings are identified, or according to corrective action plan approved by the ESH&A Office.
- <u>Level 2 Finding</u> Close out within 60 days of report date or according to corrective actions approved by the ESH&A Office.
- <u>Level 3 Finding</u> Close out as soon as possible, as resources are available.

The appropriate walk-through team member will verify close out of all Level 1 Findings and Level 2 Findings of High significance.

#### **Topical Appraisals**

Ames Laboratory safety, safeguards and security, cyber security, and emergency management specialists perform topical appraisals as detailed in Plan 10200.022, *Topical Appraisals*. These appraisals are performed in support of the Ames Laboratory *Oversight and Assurance Program* (Plan 10200.034) as internal independent assessments. Topical appraisals are designed to provide validation of compliance with DOE safety, safeguard and security, cyber security, and emergency management program requirements. Ames Laboratory, in agreement with the Ames Site Office, utilizes a graded qualitative risk approach to determine applicability and frequency of specific topics of appraisals.

Observations from topical appraisals are classified as findings, strengths, or noteworthy practices, as defined for Independent Walk-Throughs, as noted above. Close out requirements are defined by corrective action plans approved by the ESH&A Manager, or the Chief Operations Officer.

# **10.3.4 TRAINING**

HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	#AL-130
Intended Audience:	Mandatory for Safety Coordinators and
	Representatives.
	Suggested for all Group Leaders.
Module Format:	Computer-based Training. Estimated
	completion time: 1.5 hours.
Associated Retrain Period & Format:	No retrain.

#### 10.3.5 PERFORMANCE CHECKLIST

### **Group / Section Leaders** shall:

- □ Correct identified safety deficiencies.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### **Safety Representatives** shall:

- □ Assist Group/Section Leaders with review of work being performed within their organization.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

# **Safety Coordinators** shall:

- □ Assist Programs and Departments with Independent Walk-Through preparation and close out of safety deficiencies.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### **Department Manager and Program Directors** shall:

- □ Participate in Independent Walk-Throughs or provide a Program/Department representative.
- □ Assure that identified safety deficiencies are corrected.
- □ Report unresolved safety deficiencies to ESH&A.

### Environment, Safety, Health & Assurance (ESH&A) shall:

- □ Conduct Independent Walk-Throughs.
- □ Conduct Topical Appraisals.
- □ Conduct trend analysis of safety deficiencies.